

CIA Interviewing Moved, Sit-In Threat Gets Reply

By
CHRISTOPHER HARGROVE

Recruiting interviews for the Central Intelligence Agency have been moved from the Placement Center to Encina Hall in anticipation of an anti-CIA sit-in tomorrow.

The purpose of the move, according to Provost Richard Lyman, is "so the rest of the placement service will not be disturbed by demonstrations."

At a noon rally yesterday, Students for a Democratic Society members attacked the CIA as dangerous to a free society and said it was antithetical to the ends of a university and therefore had no place in one.

Ramparts Editor

Robert Avakian, research editor of Ramparts magazine, charged the CIA was part of a liberal facade of the U.S. government while its real operations were far from liberal.

Avakian, who has conducted research into CIA activities for Ramparts, called for the use of force to keep the CIA off the campus.

Economics graduate student Andy Barnes said, "If the CIA came to discuss its methods and tactics in Memorial Auditorium, I'd welcome them." But, he added, "It's their very nature, they're alien to open discussion."

CIA Fronts

Fred Goff, who has researched CIA activities in the Dominican Republic, blamed it for the overthrow of Juan Bosch's govern-

ment in 1963. "Bosch's government was thoroughly penetrated by the CIA," he said.

Goff also said CIA fronts exist on the Stanford campus, citing the Hoover Institution as an example. "There are faculty members on the CIA payroll."

"I think people should really ask themselves what their work is used for and what they want it to be used for," he added.

Provost Replies

Provost Lyman replied today to a letter Friday from Richard Bogart of SDS. Bogart had asked the administration to cancel CIA interviews.

Lyman's letter to Bogart said, "I can think of nothing more antithetical to the values that a university seeks to cherish than to deny access to any person or group because of disagreement with their views."

"How would you react to a request by those who strongly differ with the goals of your own organization that it be banned from the campus?"

Lyman's letter concluded, "I can assure you that Stanford is not going into the business of thought control, on your behalf or anyone else's."

Lyman Elaborates

In an interview later yesterday, Lyman said, "There is a clear line to me between liberty to protest and physical force to impose your point of view on people who don't agree."

He compared the proposed sit-in at the CIA interviews with sit-ins in the South.

"The sit-ins in the South tested the constitutionality of racial segregation," he said. "Sit-ins here would only test the University's right to discipline the sit-inners."

Lyman added he thought a court would probably uphold the University's right to discipline.

Lyman replied also to the argument that the CIA is "by its very nature, alien to open discussion," and that therefore it could not defend its recruiting

interviews on the grounds of free speech.

He said there had been the standard Red-baiting argument for years, especially during the McCarthy years.

"You'd have to set up a sort of test for who was an enemy of democracy. The university is the last place I'd like to see that happen," he said.

Lyman said the decision to let the CIA recruit on campus was routine and not even discussed above the Placement Center level until SDS raised the issue.

SES Committee Report

Men-Women Ratio Check

A committee of the Study of Education at Stanford (SES) has proposed an end to the high ratio of men to women among undergraduates.

In a "Recommendation of the Undergraduate Ratio" the SES committee on admissions and financial aid cited housing space as the administration's reason for admitting over twice as many men as women.

Calling this a "relatively minor consideration," the brief statement said the ratio policy should be changed because it "discriminates against women" in admissions.

The recommendation, signed by Prof. William Elsbach, the committee's chairman, was submitted to the SDS steering committee yesterday. The steering committee "had receipt" of the recommendation and pointed out the SES residence policy committee would be involved because the availability of on-campus housing influences the number of women admitted.

The ratio, about 2.3 to 1, went down slightly this year because more women transfer students were admitted, space for them was available because 60 senior women took advantage of a new regulation permitting them to live off campus.

Stanford requires all other undergraduate women to live in dormitories until age 23.

The admissions and financial aids committee is considering

many issues besides the ratio, which is only indirectly related to the group's area of study. Most of the committee's work since it was appointed in May has concerned organization of its inquiry and collection data.

Another recommendation submitted to the steering committee yesterday asked the financial aids office to preserve on magnetic tape its yearly data on financial aid applicants for "long-range studies."

The steering committee voted to pass the recommendation along to the financial aids office, with the stipulation that all financial aids records, including such things as family income, would remain strictly confidential.

A major concern of the admissions committee will be

NICE DAY — An unidentified student reacts to a Democratic Society attack



Faculty Club Re-Evaluates

U.S. Policy on Cuba