On Monday (1/27), the Daily announced SRI's acceptance of A "top-secret" \$1.8 million contract to help Thailand improve government operations and fight Communist guerrillas." SRI's vice president, Rudolph Brunsvold, commented that "this is an extension of our work--not a new contract." In keeping with this "extension," "seven additional such controversial projects are pending presently; five contracts for southeast Asia, including counter-insurgency totalling \$760,000 and two chemical and biological warfare projects totalling \$98,000."

## EDS DEMONSTRATIONS

Since October, SDS has been focusing its educational and action programs on Stanford's and SRI's involvement in Southeast Asia. We held demonstrations at ERI's offices in Menlo Park, at the Applied Electronics Lab (AEL), and at President Pitzer's office, in order to bring this involvement to the attention of the entire community.

## LIBERAL DILEMMA

In response to our campaign, acting President Glaser appointed a committee to study the situation, and Denis Hayes, ASSU President, sent letters to all forty directors of SRI asking them to stop Southeast Asia research until this committee made its recommendations. Hayes' request was turned down flat by SRI, and, as reported in Monday's Daily, the President's committee voted not to recommend a cessation of any Southeast Asian contracts.

This turn of events is a challenge to those on campus who hoped to influence the Trustees and the SRI directors, rather than confront them. such as General Dynamics' Roger Lewis, Northrop's Tom Jones, and FMC's director William Hewlett, are not going to be persudded to give up Imperialism. Only a protracted struggle, by all segments of this community concerned about the bestiality of chemical and biological warfare and "more Vietnams," can stop this planned genocide.

## A MEETING

We invite the Stanford community to a general meeting to discuss ways to GET STANFORD OUT OF SOUTHEAST ASIA. We have also invited President Pitzer. William Hewlett, AEL's Rambo, and SRI's Brunsvold to come to the meeting to explain why they have failed to meet our demands--why, in fact, they have answered widespread campus protest with new contracts.

