## PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE ANDREW GOULD SUPPORTS SIT-IN:

As he relaxed in an office on the second floor of the Applied Electronics Laboratory, Andrew Gould related many issues of his campaign for ASSU president to the present occupation of the building.

He proposes that students, faculty, and staff select the trustees. "If you're going to have a board, you might as well have one that represents the people it theoretically represents," he said.

Gould criticized the trustees for "not being at all responsive to community needs." He cited the delay in coed housing and their misdevelopment of Palo Alto land as evidence of their irresponsibility to the community. People who work at Stanford can't afford to live in Palo Alto, he said indignantly.

Feeling that students demands about research are completely justified, he supports the sit-in although he commented that the actions was not militant enough. We should take ever another building.  $^{\rm N}$ 

The more buildings we have, the more power we have, he stressed. If elected, he would use a similar tactic to force the trustees to relinquish some of their power. Gould said he recognized that the president has no power. The only power he has is the power of leadership and to act as a focal point for student dissatisfaction.

Besides the question of the trustees power Gould's platform rests on his grievance with SES. He stated that Stanford needs a Real study of Education.

He believed that the present reports study procedural reform and academic bullshit! and ignore the more essential problems.

Faculty control of courses content and the hierarchical structure in class were two areas he felt were not covered. His view of classes today at Stanford was that the "professor emanates great rays of knowledge and students sit there and absorb them."