

AGENDA--APRIL 3

(this agenda was developed out of a meeting of the sponsoring groups)

I. Introduction

II. The Issues

- A. Our program for research. What types of research do we discourage? How can we encourage research which serves the public interest?
1. CBW
 2. Counterinsurgency
 3. Vietnam-related research (including electronics). Do we have enough information to take a position now?
 - 4) Mention of other areas of research we should review (ABM-related work, economic development, classified work in general, etc.) when informed.
- B. How can SRI become a positive and creative force in society?
Discussion can be based on the motion: "We demand that the trustees discontinue all plans for severance of SRI from the university (with or without a restrictive covenant), and that instead SRI be brought under tighter control by the University and that guidelines be established for socially acceptable research to be directly applied to SRI and the rest of the Stanford community."

III. Strategy

- A. Should we demand that objectionable research be stopped during the period of deliberations over SRI? Or should we demand that no objectionable contracts be renewed or accepted in the interim? Alternative proposals are in order.
- B. On March 11 we resolved by acclamation that we wanted an open trustee meeting discussing SRI. What does this mean? The next four questions can be resolved by a series of and/or votes.
1. Do we want an open decision-making meeting of the trustees (in an auditorium)? Relevancy--do we want to ignore the trustees altogether?
 2. Do we want open hearings with relevant testimony contiguous with the decision-making meeting of the trustees?
 3. Do we want an open forum with the trustees prior to the decision-making meeting?
 4. Do we want our own hearings for our own decision-making meeting (shadow government)?
- C. Schedule
- If we decide that we want the open-decision-making meeting with the trustees, do we want it in April, or on May 13.
- Do we want a response from the trustees on April 8?
- IV. What steps should be taken ~~XXXXXXXX~~ before April 8 to express our concern?
- A. Assuming we have a set of demands for the trustees, should we present them to President Pitzer on Friday, following a noon rally? Alternative suggestions are invited.
- B. Should demonstration be held before April 8? Motions for vigils, marches, pickets, etc. will be entertained.
- C. Should a demonstration be held on April 8? Motions are expected. These will probably include vigils or picketing at the San Francisco meeting-place, on-campus vigils (maybe in President's office), and the possibility of a concurrent community meeting to discuss the issues.
- D. Implementation
- Formation of committees and scheduling of planning meetings.