

Proposed Agenda for sds meeting April 28

1. Selection of Chairman..we suggest Frank Cassidy, well known radical agitator and Chairman of Poli-Sci Grad Stud. Association
2. Lengthy open discussion in three parts:

- 1) What role has sds played?

we initiated, yes; but where have we been since our last action? what was our last action? Have we played a unified role despite our not meeting? what role?

- 2) Where are we right now?

where is sds with respect to the April 3rd Mvmt;? with respect to the constituencies it is trying to organize? has sds dissolved itself? what role are we currently playing?. Where are we as part of A3M? what has been the effect of what we have done so far at Stanford? and outside Stanford?

- 3) What role should sds play as an organization?

- a. politics: how do we relate politically to the trustees? to the role of the faculty?

Are the A3M demands non-negotiable?

Jan "moral concern", stalling, and minor concessions knock us out of the ball game?

- b. tactics and strategy:

Is May 13 too late for action?

Is it ok if there is no more action?

Should sds, as a group, propose actions to the April 3 Movement?

Should sds initiate actions? If so, what if April 3 Movement doesn't support us? (We are posing these important questions here without intending to imply any specific answer.).

There have been some fears expressed that the calling of an sds meeting to discuss these issues might split the April 3rd M. We want to assert our faith in the rightness of the A3M demands and in the convictions of the Movement to stop the war machine. sds is not a threat to unity, as this meeting will prove. Moreover sds can, as a group, contribute much to the important discussions within the April Third Movement.

Respectfully submitted: Ad Hoc Committee for a Viable sds.

Cassidy, Friedman, Heller, Iverson, Kulbaitis
Sapir