# Campus Report

A Weekly Publication for Stanford University Faculty and Staff

Vol. I, No. 32

May 21, 1969

# President Pitzer Sees Progress Despite Student Demonstrations

President Kenneth S. Pitzer on Saturday told an audience of more than 1,000 persons attending a Stanford Campus Conference luncheon that, despite recent incidents of student unrest, the University has made appreciable progress in the enrollment of minority students, curricula study, and decisions by the faculty Senate and the Board of Trustees.

He also emphasized:

"Last year the internal judicial mechanisms collapsed and no penalties remained in effect after the Old Union sit-in. This year we have new judicial machinery that is badly overburdened but is still intact and internal penalties are in effect with community support. This is progress, nowhere near as great as I would like to report, but real progress."

The President spoke to the group, composed of alumni, parents of students, and friends of the University, just 24 hours after several students were among those arrested when 300 demonstrators were cleared from the front of the Stanford Research Institute building on Hanover Street a few blocks off campus. (See story below.)

President Pitzer mentioned the incident and other demonstrations at the Applied Electronics Laboratory and Encina Hall earlier this Spring.

In reference to the Encina Hall sit-in, in which the occupants left the building rather than face arrest by police and sheriff's deputies who were summoned, President Pitzer stated:

"If the University must repeatedly rely on outside forces, as we have so unhappily had to do once this Spring, Stanford and the idea of the University to which all of us are dedicated will have suffered a damaging blow."

About 10 members of the April Third Movement received widespread boos when they interrupted the luncheon program to accuse the University of hypocrisy.

In his speech, President Pitzer pointed out:

"It is certainly true that seriously disruptive elements have been and are at work at this very moment on the campus. But I would maintain at the same time that we have made considerable progress, and I would emphasize again that this progress has come by dint of *community* effort.

"I should like to dwell on these positive gains for a few moments, partly because they include important organizational improvements. Possibly the most striking of these has been the creation of the Senate of the Academic Council. This group of 53 elected faculty representatives and eleven exofficio administrative members has been meeting at least weekly since the first of the year under the able chairmanship of Leonard Schiff, professor of physics. It has moved matters of the utmost importance toward decision with a speed that is quite untraditional in collegiate circles.

#### Board Shows Flexibility

"The Board of Trustees has also shown flexibility in the face of changing times. A few months ago it altered its meeting schedule to include several more sessions on the campus and it approved my proposal of a University Advisory Committee of five trustees, five students, and five faculty to meet regularly and establish a mutual exchange of viewpoints. Student

# Trustees: Closer SRI Ties Would Have Been Drain on University

The Board of Trustees, in deciding to terminate formal ties with the Stanford Research Institute, said to integrate with SRI as many have advocated would weaken the University because "Stanford is already far too short of financial resources and administrative manpower."

Board President W. Parmer Fuller said the decision made at the May 13 meeting was unanimous. No deadline has been set for the change, which probably will take "considerable time." It was indicated by the board that outside counsel and consultants will be retained for advice on alternatives to affect the change.

In explaining their decision, the trustees pointed out that they, "by definition and commitment, must take the long view; they must stop short of the point at which they would betray their trust or jeopardize the future in order to achieve momentary tranquility by placating those who urge a particular course of action upon them; they must act to the end of fostering and protecting the University in respect to its primary functions and objectives."

The board, in explaining that the University already is short of financial resources and administrative manpower, said that Stanford is in no position "to embark on what would in effect be a major new venture—an assumption of responsibility for a major applied research activity."

The trustees said that "... there is going to be continuing need for review of SRI research policy," and noted with approval steps now being taken at SRI toward creating mechanisms for such review "with due regard to the opinions of the SRI professional staff. This is ... a matter for SRI man-

(Continued on page 3)

and faculty members attend and participate in Board of Trustee meetings. But of longer-range significance is the appointment by Parmer Fuller, the board president, of Trustee John Gardner to head a committee which will make recommendations on the structure, composition, and function of the Board. It is not appropriate to predict the outcome of the committee's report, but it will have before it proposals for increased membership to provide for greater diversity in age and occupation. Also the committee is giving particular attention to means by which almuni views and advice can come more adequately to the Board.

"In the administrative area, I have strengthened the role of the Deans of the schools in general University policy making. Other changes intended to strengthen undergraduate education are pending."

President Pitzer pointed out that "... the most spectacular development for students has been their increased participation in University affairs, and for this I want to give a large part of the credit to Denis Hayes, immediate past president of the ASSU."

The President noted that students now are serving on most of the University's important Academic Council, President, or ad hoc committees, and that they may bring matters before the faculty Senate.

#### Faculty Authority Is Clear

"At the same time," he declared, "the authority and responsibility of the faculty remains clear in the form of the Senate. In other words, students have great opportunity to introduce ideas and to advocate their adoption in committees, but the faculty must be convinced before they are adopted."

President Pitzer told the audience that the Student Conduct Legislative Council and the Stanford Judicial Council are the first Stanford joint student-faculty bodies with responsibility for developing their own disciplinary and judicial rules.

"Each council has a faculty majority," he explained, "but no rules can be adopted or deleted unilaterally by students or faculty."

In reviewing other gains the University community had made this year, President Pitzer said the "Most pervasive of these has been the Study of Education at Stanford, which was initiated two years ago by President Wallace Sterling.

#### Thorough Self-Examination

"I believe no university has ever given itself a more thorough self-examination. SES recommendations, as I have mentioned, are now being debated at joint faculty Senate-Student Council meetings and are being considered by appropriate administrative offices."

Turning to minority considerations, President Pitzer said: "I am proud of the progress we have made in our minority student program under the leadership of Provost Richard Lyman, although all of us are agreed that we have made only a beginning. There will be significant increases in the number

of Mexican-American and black students in next fall's entering class and seven of our departments or schools will have black faculty members. Dr. Lyman reported initial success with our experimental program of admitting minority students who show promise but do not meet formal admissions criteria—to the extent that we shall increase from ten to twenty-one the number admitted under this program. In February thirteen of our sophomores and juniors—eight of whom were black and five white—became the first majors in our new degree program in African and Afro-American Studies, supervised by a student-faculty committee headed by Professor James Gibbs, anthropology."

President Pitzer pointed out that the campus has shown its concern for social issues in other ways including faculty participation in population studies, the nationwide March 4 Convocations, and research.

#### Campus Tensions

Concerning campus tensions, President Pitzer said,

"Each generation brings new problems or concerns which trouble people and which the universities should help resolve," Pitzer said. "We are all most acutely aware of the present tensions in race relations—a problem to which I assure you I am deeply committed, and those arising from our most unforunate war in Vietnam—a war which I hope can be terminated very soon.

"A University must maintain a reasonable balance between the ivory tower atmosphere in which problems can be studied deeply and abstractly and the everyday world with its demand for action and for responsiveness to new areas of social need."

In reference to the Board of Trustees' action last week to terminate the University's relationship with the Stanford Research Institute, President Pitzer said he feels the board "came to a reasonable decision." He stated, "In so doing, the board emphasized the need for both institutions to attack problems of urgent social concerns."

President Pitzer delineated the disruptive events that have occurred on the campus this Spring, including the demonstration at SRI's building on Hanover Street last Friday.

He explained that judicial proceedings are underway as a result of the sit-in at the Applied Electronics Laboratory and the Encina Hall occupation, and pointed out:

"Nevertheless, at this point, we face a clear test of the University's capacity to govern its own affairs. It is a real test, in the final balance, as to whether we can continue as a community that claims dedication to the examined life. If we cannot, if we must repeatedly rely on outside forces as we have so unhappily had to do once this Spring, Stanford and the idea of the University to which all of us are dedicated will have suffered a damaging blow."

Finally, the President told the audience that despite the problems that exist on the campus:

"I am still optimistic, but see trying times ahead. We will need the support of everyone to work them out as best we can."

## Trustees' Action on SRI

(Continued from page 1)

agement and staff to determine, rather than being a question for the University faculty and students to decide," the board said.

On Friday, three days after the board's decision, about (300) demonstrators gathered at SRI's Hanover Street building in the Stanford Industrial Park in an attempt to prevent SRI employees from going to work. Fifteen demonstrators were arrested when the area was cleared by Palo Alto police and Santa Clara deputy sheriffs.

SRI on Sunday obtained a temporary restraining order from the Santa Clara Superior Court enjoining 27 individuals and 12 organizations from repeated trespass and unlawful

intereference with a lawful business.

It was intended to enjoin the defendants from entering the grounds of any SRI building, preventing employees from entering SRI, and the defacing of property at any SRI

Demonstrators appeared at the Hanover Street facility on Monday in another attempt to disrupt traffic on Page Mill Road and prevent employees access to the SRI building.

Although the trustees said they are of the opinion that they should not impose restrictions on the areas of research to be conducted at SRI, "... It is to be noted, however, that the Executive Committee of the Board of SRI has advised the trustees that the Institute will henceforth refuse to engage in research projects dealing directly with the development of weapons of biological or chemical warfare. This is a position which is in accord with the actions of the Senate of the Academic Council, and the Board of Trustees itself."

The trustees also backed the SRI's board commitment to increase its research activities for the private sector and in support of programs designed to assist in the solution of

pressing social problems.

"There are matters of urgent social concern which should be the objects of vigorous research attack and activity by society in general," the trustees said. "Stanford University and SRI should be in the forefront of efforts to find solutions to these problems, and the trustees pledge themselves to encourage such efforts and to seek support for them."

The trustees also noted that the contributions and concerns of the approximate 3,000 staff at SRI should not be overlooked. In a poll conducted by SRI, 84 percent of the SRI staff favored continuing the Institute's present policies on national security research and 81 percent reject as "unacceptable" or "undesirable" the idea of non-SRI personnel helping to determine research policy.

The April 3rd Movement, which organized a nine-day sitin at the Applied Electronics Laboratory and a six-hour occupation of Encina Hall, has demanded integration of SRI into the University and research restraints in chemical-biological warfare and counter-insurgency, among other areas.

In the incident on Hanover Street on Friday, the demonstrators blocked traffic on Page Mill Road with their own

barricades and a medium-sized school bus by letting air out of its tires and placing it across two traffic lanes.

More than 300 demonstrators were present when 130 officers from the Palo Alto Police Department and Santa Clara County Sheriff's Office cleared the area. The initial clearing involved about 150 police who used tear gas and swept down Hanover Street and forced demonstrators into nearby open fields.

Numerous windows in the SRI building were broken by the protest group, who also set fire moments earlier to a street barricade on Hanover after being warned that failure to disperse could result in arrest.

Police scuffled with demonstrators in scattered incidents. Two demonstrators were struck during the police action, but there apparently were no major injuries.

One hour later most demonstrators had left the area and returned to campus. As they walked through the Inner Quad area, two windows in the President's Office were broken by thrown rocks.

By mid-afternoon on Friday, about a dozen persons appeared in the business office lobby in Encina Hall and demanded to talk with Alf Brandin, vice president for business affairs. They demanded that the University furnish bail money for the students. Brandin refused, and the group left.

# **Preliminary Injunction Restrains Defendants From Disruptive Acts**

Following a hearing on May 15, the Santa Clara County Superior Court on the following day issued, at Stanford's request, an Order Granting Preliminary Injunction.

The preliminary injunction replaces the Temporary Restraining Order issued by the court. The preliminary injunction enjoins the defendants and all persons aiding and abetting them from engaging in the disruptive or obstructive conduct described in the preliminary injunction. The text of the preliminary injunction follows:

#### ORDER GRANTING PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION

GOOD CAUSE APPEARING, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that a preliminary injunction be and the same is hereby granted, until the trial herein, enjoining and restraining defendants and each of them, and all person aiding and abetting them, or any of them, from doing or causing to be done directly or indirectly any of the following acts or things:

- 1. Entering or occupying any academic, administrative or service building on the principal academic campus of Stanford University or any classroom, laboratory, auditorium, library or office therein either (a) for the purpose and with the effect of dirupting classes, meetings, research activities or the conduct of the business of Stanford University or (b) with the knowledge that such conduct is disrupting classes, meetings, research activities or the conduct of the business of Stanford University.
- 2. Intentionally obstructing (by sit-in, or massing, or any other physical acts) the normal use of or the free ingress or egress to or from any academic, administrative or service building on the principal academic campus of Stanford University, or the normal

use of or free ingress or egress to or from any classroom, laboratory, auditorium, library or office therein or the doorways, halls, covered walks or porticoes, basements or roofs thereof, or the normal movement of any person about the Stanford University campus or in any Stanford University building or facility.

Plaintiff shall file a bond in the form required by the Code of Civil Procedure, Section 529, in the amount of \$5,000.00, by

12:00 o'clock noon Friday, May 16, 1969.

Dated: May 16, 1969.

/s/ STANLEY R. EVANS JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT

The court declined to include in the preliminary injunction provisions requested by Stanford which would have enjoined until June 16 defendants from entering academic or administrative buildings other than to attend hearings of the Stanford Judicial Council, to gather evidence for their defense at such hearings, or to attend rallies or meetings in halls or auditoriums normally used for such rallies or meetings.

## **Board of Trustees' Statement**

Following is the statement issued by the Stanford Board of Trustees after its decision to terminate the relationship between the University and the Stanford Research Institute:

Because some of the research activities in which Stanford Research Institute has been engaged are in areas of deep concern to many members of the Stanford community, the entire relationship of the Institute to the University has been brought into question. The Board of Trustees, being ultimately responsible for maintaining Stanford as an educational institution of high degree and as the sole members of SRI, face a multitude of complex issues which require resolution unless the University is to be adversely affected on the one hand, and SRI dissipated or destroyed on the other.

Careful consideration has been given to the evidence placed before the trustees, including the report of the Scott Committee, the testimony presented at the campus hearing on April 30, the results of a faculty-student-staff-alumni poll, the action of the faculty Senate on May 2, the report of the May 7 survey of the SRI staff, and numerous communications, both written and oral, from and with individuals interested in these problems. This evidence indicates a wide divergence in view.

In the view of the majority of those who would reform SRI by University control, some 10 percent of its research revenues have been derived from activities which they deem objectionable and a few would proscribe SRI from engaging in a still larger share of its research activities. In any case, to affiliate the Institute more closely with the University, as many advocate, would embark Stanford upon a program of applied contract research in manner and scale completely foreign to our concept of an educational institution of high quality. Not only this, but in the process, the Institute as a viable organization would, in our view, disintegrate and its highly skilled personnel be dissipated. The contributions and concerns of the approximate 3000 staff of SRI, including some 1500 professionals, who have loyally devoted their talent to the Institute over the years, should not be overlooked. The opposition of most SRI professional staff to closer ties with the University has been clearly demonstrated.

#### 'Must Take Long View'

The trustees, by definition and commitment, must take the long view; they must stop short of the point at which they would betray their trust or jeopardize the future in order to achieve momentary tranquility by placating those who urge a particular

course of action upon them; they must act to the end of fostering and protecting the University in respect to its primary functions and objectives. Stanford is already far too short of financial resources and administrative manpower in our opinion to embark on what would in effect be a major new venture—an assumption of responsibility for a major applied research activity.

There are matters of urgent social concern within this country which should be the objects of vigorous research attack and activity by society in general. Stanford University and SRI should be in the forefront of the efforts to finds solutions to these problems and the trustees pledge themselves to encourage such efforts and to seek support for them. To the extent that the social problems of the day are appropriate targets for University research activities, then programs should be devised within the University structure itself, consistent with Stanford's financial capacity and with its educational objectives, rather than by merging a different kind of institution into the Stanford setting for the purpose of furthering social research, with all of the financial risks to the University and damage to skilled SRI personnel attendant thereon.

The trustees are of the opinion that they should not impose restrictions on the areas of research to be conducted at SRI. It is to be noted, however, that the Executive Committee of the Board of SRI has advised the trustees that the Institute will henceforth refuse to engage in research projects dealing directly with the development of weapons of biological or chemical warfare. This is a position which is in accord with the actions of the Senate of the Academic Council, and the Board of Trustees itself. Further, the trustees commend and support the action of the SRI Board in committing itself to a policy of increasing reseach activities for the private sector and in support of programs designed to assist in the solution of pressing social problems. We wholeheartedly endorse these commitments.

Two further comments are necessary. First, there is a continuing need for review of SRI research policy; the trustees note and approve steps being taken at the Institute toward creating mechanisms at SRI for carrying on such review with due regard for the opinions of the SRI professional staff. This is, in the opinion of the trustees, a matter for SRI management and staff to determine, rather than being a question for University faculty and students to decide. Second, many persons on the Stanford campus have shown their concern over the matters of counterinsurgency research. The trustees have considered this with care, but have concluded that limitations upon research policy under this heading are neither feasible nor desirable. Discussions of this topic by the Senate of the Academic Council have indicated the difficulty inherent in defining limitations on this kind of research.

#### CAMPUS REPORT

Published weekly in Autumn, Winter, and Spring and biweekly in Summer quarters by the University Relations Office, Stanley I. Wilson, editor; Jan Studebaker, associate editor.

News items, letters to the editor, questions for the Q and A column, housing notices (Stanford faculty and staff only), and other editorial communications should be directed to the Publications Service, 332 Encina Hall. (See Calendar for special instructions.)

On-campus distribution queries should be directed to the Interdepartmental Mail, Ext. 2450; at the Medical Center, to the Mail Room, Ext. 5130. U.S. mail (first class) subscriptions at \$6.00 a year available at Publications Service, 332 Encina Hall, Stanford, Calif. 94305; make checks payable to Stanford University. Second-class postal permit pending at Palo Alto, Calif.

After careful consideration of many factors involved, the trustees believe that formal ties between the Institute and the University should be terminated. This would not, of course, preclude joint efforts by the two institutions in areas of common interest. Separation involves complex issues, however, which must receive careful and time-consuming consideration. To name only a few, there are questions of the character of the Institute's ownership and its non-profit status; the greatest financial return to the University consistent with the continued well being of SRI, taking into account the terms of gifts received from private individuals and institutions; and the complex and difficult problems attendant upon a change in the form and method or organization of a nonprofit institution under applicable California law. The trustees intend to explore the alternatives with the end in view of reaching a resolution of the matter at the earliest date consistent with the difficulty and multiplicity of problems involved. In this connection, the trustees intend to retain qualified outside counsel and consultants to advise them in respect to these matters.

#### BOARD OF TRUSTEES ACTIONS

Actions taken by the Board of Trustees at its May 13, 1969 meeting:

- 1. Voted that they believe the formal ties between Stanford and Stanford Research Institute should be terminated "at the earliest date consistent with the difficulty and multiplicity of problems involved." (See story on page 1 for details.)
- 2. Approved plans for a mobile home community project for emergency student housing for next Fall. (See story on page 6 for details.)
- 3. Instructed the Committee on Structure, Composition and Function of the Board to:
  - -study the experience of other comparable universities with differing forms of board organization-dual boards, direct election of trustees by various parts of the university community (including alumni), different classes of Board membership and so on;
  - -study ways and means of obtaining faculty, student and alumni participation in matters coming before the Board, including possible membership on Board committees;
  - -study mechanisms by which views of the alumni body may be ascertained.
  - Further that the Committee continue its overall study of the structure, composition and function of the Board.
- Authorized the President of the University to approve changes in the constitution or a new constitution of the Associated Students, with the understanding that the President will introduce a suitable preamble or include other statements of interpretation or reservation as may be appropriate.
- 5. Approved establishment of a new Department of Neurology in the School of Medicine, effective September 1, 1969.
- 6. Approved the following recommendations for departmental chairman in the School of Humanities and Sciences:
- Professor David S. Nivison, Department of Philosophy, 3-year term. Professor Rupert G. Miller, Jr., Department of Statistics, 3year term. Professor Ralph S. Phillips, Department of Mathematics, 3-year term.
- 7. Receipt of gifts totalling \$2,138,380 during the month of April was reported to the Board. Of this amount, \$1,879,799 was re-

stricted as to use, leaving \$258,581 for the unrestricted use of the University or the various schools and departments.

8. Approved a recommendation to execute a purchase option on computation equipment for the Computation Center and to purchase the necessary power source and other equipment at a cost not to exceed \$2,300,000. Financing will be through use of \$1,-000,000 in Computation Center funds and \$1,300,000 of funds borrowed either from other University funds or from outside sources.

Professors Leonard I. Schiff, William A. Clebsch, and Sanford M. Dornbusch, and students Patrick Shea, Joyce Kobayashi, Barry Askinas, and John Grube-members of the ASSU Presidents' Council—and Roulette Smith, member of the University Advisory Committee, attended all or part of the morning sessions, including that at which the SRI issue was discussed. The faculty members and several of the students presented their views about SRI, Professor Scott R. Pearson, chairman of the Committee on Emergency Housing; Miss Sally Mahoney, Associate Dean of Students; Miss Abie von Schlegell, member of the emergency housing committee; and Mr. Edward A. Scoles, Assistant Business Manager, were present for the discussion of the mobile home project.

The following long-term promotions were approved effective

9/1/69 unless otherwise noted:

Anliker, Max, Professor of Aeronautics and Astronautics; Arbib, Michael Anthony, Associate Professor of Electrical Engineering, 2/1/69-8/31/72; Barnett, Clifford R., Professor of Anthropoloy and, by courtesy, Associate Professor of Pediatrics; Fifer, Charles N. Professor of English; Hodge, Thomas A., Professor of Radiology, 7/1/69-8/31/72; Newman-Gordon, Pauline, Professor of French; Perlroth, Mark G., Assistant Professor of Medicine; Rebholz, Ronald A., Associate Professor of English; Rosenthal, Alan J., Assistant Professor of Psychiatry; Scott, William R., Professor of Sociology; Seil, Frederick J., Assistant Professor of Medicine (Neurology), 5/1/69-8/31/72; Sunseri, Mary V., Associate Professor of Mathematics; Wittner, William K., Assistant Professor of Psychiatry; Zarcone, Vincent P., Assistant Professor of Psychiatry; Mandell, Paul I., Assistant Professor of Geography; Marinkovich, Vincent A., Assistant Professor of Pediatrics; Spinelli, D. Nico, Assistant Professor of Psychiatry; and McKinnon, Ronald I., Professor of Economics.

The following long-term appointments were approved effective 9/1/69 unless otherwise noted:

Almond, Richard J., Assistant Professor of Psychiatry, 7/1/69-8/31/72; Axline, Stanton G., Assistant Professor of Medicine; Cancian, Francesca M., Assistant Professor of Sociology; Clark, Cedric C., Assistant Professor of Psychology and Communication; Clark, Herbert H., Associate Professor of Psychology; Dunn, Donald A., Associate Professor of Engineering-Economic Systems, 7/1/69; Fischman, Stanley E., Assistant Professor of Psychiatry; Gormon, Howard E., Assistant Professor of Mathematics; Hannan, Michael T., Jr., Assistant Professor of Sociology; Kao, Kung-yi, Assistant Professor of Chinese;

Mazur, Allan C., Assistant Professor of Sociology, 5/1/69-8/31/71; Milgram, R. James, Professor of Mathematics, 9/1/70; Nelson, Keith E., Assistant Professor of Psychology; Olsen, Stephen M., Assistant Professor of Sociology; Pinfield, Lawrence T., Assistant Professor of Organizational Behavior, Graduate School of Business; Ross, Lee, Assistant Professor of Psychology; Scheinberg, Stephen, Assistant Professor of Mathematics; Tharp, Barry R., Assistant Professor of Medicine (Neurology); Thomas, John Hunger, Associate Professor of Biology; Wilson, Leslie, Assistant Professor of Pharmacology.

Fred O. Glover Executive Assistant to the President

# Reactions to Board of Trustees' Decision on Disposition of SRI

Following are comments relative to the decision by the Board of Trustees that formal ties between the University and Stanford Research Institute be terminated:

President Kenneth S. Pitzer—"The board found the desires and demands from the different constituencies to be completely irreconcilable.

"I think that the board came to a reasonable decision, that the University in its educational and basic research activities was a separate sort of operation from the applied research done at SRI.

"There was not a convincing case for an amalgamation of the University with SRI. I don't think there was a convincing case presented for the closer coupling of the two institutions."

Professor Leonard I. Schiff, physics, chairman of the Senate, speaking as an individual—"I had hoped that the Board of Trustees would follow the recommendation of the Academic Senate in regard to SRI: that no final decision or commitment to action be made until Fall Quarter 1969.

"The Senate recommendation was based on the belief that the situation is so complex that more time is needed to acquire factual basis for any decision. It was also based on the high level of concern in the community, which is generating alternative proposals that would be responsive to moral considerations widely held in the Stanford community—proposals that deserve careful study.

"The Trustees evidently weighed these considerations against their concern that the professional staff of SRI might disintegrate over the Summer, and made the decision to terminate formal ties between Stanford and SRI. It is important to note that the Trustees' statement includes a pledge to encourage and seek support for efforts by the two organiza-

tions to deal with the manifold ills of society."

Kenneth E. Scott, law, chairman of the faculty-student committee that studied the Stanford-SRI relationship—"The trustees moved in what I believe to be the correct basic direction. One result of the board action will be to shift the source of pressure from Stanford students wanting to retain control over SRI to some of the SRI staff who want to retain nonprofit status, in both cases without too much concern for the cost to the University.

"An equally crucial decision, therefore, still lies ahead—how this separation is going to be accomplished and with what return to the University."

Charles A. Anderson, president of SRI—"The Trustees' action is a sound and appropriate decision that will benefit both organizations. The final form of organization for SRI and the method of ending the affiliation with Stanford University probably will take some months to work out, but essential points to assure our continued value to the nation have been decided.

"Whatever the legal organization, however, I am confident that SRI and Stanford University will continue the pleasant and mutually benefical relationships which we have had in the past even though formal affiliation is dissolved."

Stanford Daily editorial—"... Looked upon as a whole, the recommendations do anything but 'encourage' efforts to solve crucial social problems. In fact, the trustee decisions may make SRI more socially unacceptable than at present.

"By severing SRI from the University, the trustees are refusing to turn SRI into an institution which will creatively aid in society's business of peaceful and productive activities. Instead, SRI will remain linked to the business of war."

### Construction Will Begin Next Month For Temporary Mobile Home Village

A temporary mobile home village to house nearly 500 single students on campus is expected to be ready for occupancy by the beginning of Fall Quarter.

The University Board of Trustees approved the \$1.2 million self-liquidating project at its meeting last week.

Construction of streets, utilities, and grading will start the middle of next month, and the village will be operated as part of the University's total student housing and food service program.

The temporary facility will be located east of Branner Hall, and will include approximately 120 mobile homes housing four students each.

A recent housing report estimated that 5,000 of the University's 11,400 students now are housed off campus. A tight market for low rental units in the surrounding area has produced housing shortages of growing severity for the past three years.

Rental rates in the mobile home project would be \$68.33

per person for 1969-70, the same as charged for single students in nearby Escondido Village.

The mobile home village is expected to remain in operation at least three years, but not likely more than five years, during which time alternate long-range housing is expected to be developed.

### Ram's Head Spring Musical Will Open Tonight and Continue Until Saturday

"Lock Up Your Daughters," the Spring musical produced by Ram's Head will open today (May 21) in the Little Theater at 8:30 p.m. and continue through Saturday. A matinee will be presented Saturday at 5 p.m.

Based on Henry Fielding's play, "Rape Upon Rape," the musical is set in mid-Eighteenth Century London.

Admission is \$2.50 for general admission; students, \$2; and matinee, \$1.50. Tickets are on sale in front of the post office and at Ram's Head Office, Ext. 4336, Tresidder Mcmorial Union.

#### Letters to the Editor

#### Editor, Campus Report:

As members of the Stanford Employees Association (SEA), we strongly object to the statement of Mike Fineo which appeared in the Campus Report of May 7, 1969. This letter was written by Mike Fineo and part of the Executive Board, on their own. The SEA membership was not asked its opinion on this matter. Mike was not speaking for us.

He stated, "... your jobs are at stake at this time." This is totally untrue. Nobody's job is threatened by the April 3rd Movement. The only objective of that kind of statement is to frighten employees into joining the SEA. Please note that on the same page of Campus Report, Alf E. Brandin, vice president for business affairs at Stanford, states that intimidation and coercion of employees are specifically outlawed.

Although we question Mike Fineo's judgment and tactics in this instance, we do however join with him in asking all employees to join the SEA. Do not join out of fear or to "save your job"but join to help in constructive efforts to gain community health care, wages equal to those of our off-campus counterparts, and housing for employees on Stanford University land. We can gain these ends only if you join us and add your strength to ours.

#### Concerned Members of SEA

Lydia Baker Beverly Bogart Roland Finston

Roslyn Gilbert

Sally Gilliam Mary Lou Greenberg

Robert Griffin

Julia Harvey

Louise Lyman Chris Menchine

D. A. Schumacher

Pat Taylor

Ron Sax

#### COMMENCEMENT SPEAKER CHANGE

W. Willard Wirtz, former Secretary of Labor in the Kennedy and Johnson administrations and now a Washington labor attorney, has accepted an invitation to deliver Stanford University's 78th annual commencement address on Sunday, June 15.

He replaces Mayor John V. Lindsay of New York City, who declined after his party scheduled a mayoralty primary June 17,

Wirtz, 57, is a graduate of the University of California, Berkeley, who earned his advanced degrees from Beloit and Harvard Law School.

A member of the faculty at Northwestern University's School of Law before and after World War II, Wirtz served with the Board of Economic Warfare and in other government agencies during the Roosevelt Administration.

He left teaching in 1954 to enter private practice and in 1962 was appointed United States Secretary of Labor by President Kennedy.

Wirtz's address will begin shortly after the 5 p.m. opening ceremonies in Frost Memorial Amphitheater.

Baccalaureate speaker, scheduled for 11 a.m. in Memorial Church, will be the Right Reverend Paul Moore Jr., suffragan Episcopal bishop of Washington, D.C., chairman of the NAACP's Committee of 100 and chairman of its legal defense fund.

#### NEWS ROUNDUP

PEOPLE & THINGS—David S. Jacobson, Secretary to the University, has been invited to be a trustee of the National Council on Philanthropy.

-Dr. Sherwood L. Washburn, professor of anthropology at UC-Berkeley, will give the Jake Gimbel Sex Psychology Memorial Lecture at 8 p.m. Tuesday, May 27, in Dinkelspiel Auditorium. His topic will be "Evolution of Sexual Behavior.

-Delores Mack, doctoral student in psychology, is seeking volunteer married couples to aid her in the area of family interaction research. A fee will be paid participants, and they will receive results with group averages and individual results. Those interested may call 326-5989. -The Committee for Art at Stanford will conduct a painting party Saturday (May 24) from 10 a.m. to 5 p.m. and guests will paint the interior of Tower House at 860 Tower House.

An analysis of 20 years of the Federal Republic of Germany will be the subject of discussions in Tresidder Memorial Union today (May 21) and tomorrow with sessions at 4:15 and 7:30 p.m. American and German professors will speak and informal discussions are planned after each lecture.

HOUSING NEEDED-1) Visiting prof. needs 3-4 BR furn. house beginning mid-Aug. for a year. Write: Austin D. Swanson, 4914 Smiley Terrace, Clarence, New York 14031.

2) Marion Bieber, Ext. 3362, needs

nearby 1 BR furn. apt. soon.

3) English novelist and news analyst, coming to teach in the Writing Center, Autumn and Winter Quarters needs 3 BR furn. house. Up to \$300-\$325. Call Ext.

4) Mrs. Audrey Foley, Ext. 2377, needs furn. 2-3 BR house, campus or nearby, for visiting prof. (wife, 2 children), Sept.

I through June 1970.

5) Mrs. Grace Bird, Ext. 2501, needs 2 BR furn. Palo Alto housing for visiting prof. and family June 30-Aug. 31.

6) Lydia Baker, Ext. 4573, needs 3-4 BR furn. housing for visiting scholar and family Sept. 1 through Aug. 31.

HOUSING FOR RENT—I) Dr. Peter Gregory, Ext. 5911 or 324-0897, renting 3 BR furn. Menlo Park home, family room, July I, 1969, to July I, 1970. \$375/mo., including gardener, water.

2) Diana McCormac, Ext. 2036 or 326-6344, now renting unfurn. 3BR+den nearby house. \$350/mo., including gardener.

3) Marshall Givens, Ext. 2086 or 327-

0478, renting unfurn. 2 BR College Terrace house. \$200/mo.
4) Mrs. George Stark, Ext. 5393 or

851-8235, renting their 3 BR furn. Portola Valley home (Bay view, pool privileges, care of dog part of deal) during summer

session (July & Aug.).

RIDES—1) Judy Clark, Ext. 73291, needs one from Stelling/Homestead, Cupertino, to SEL, M-F, 8 & 5, May 26 to July 11. Will share expenses.

2) Barbara Davison, Ext. 2010, needs ride from Middlefield and Rengsdorf, M-F, 8 & 5. Will share expenses.
3) Ruth Chase, Ext. 4378, needs ride

from vicinity of Lawrence Expressway and Bayshore Freeway, 8 & 5, M-F, or just ride from campus there at 5 M-F.

#### CAMPUS CALENDAR

Calendar items must be delivered in writing to the Public Events Office, Room 111, Old Union, by noon of the Thursday, 11 days before the beginning of the week in which the events occur.

#### MONDAY, MAY 26

Committee on Russian, East European and Communist Studies & the School of Humanities & Sciences lecture: "The