

OF PREDICTS IN TESTIMONY

'More Stanford Protests'

Mercury Washington Bureau

WASHINGTON — Prof. William R. Rambo, director of Stanford University's Applied Electronics Laboratory, Wednesday predicted the university is in for more disruptive events.

He said the militant movement must continue these tactics to maintain a momentum necessary to its existence.

In testifying before a special Senate subcommittee probing campus unrest, Rambo concurred with earlier testimony by Stanford President Kenneth S. Pitzer that the university has evolved a proper formula for dealing with demonstrators within the academic community.

On several points, however, his testimony was at variance with Pitzer's.

Rambo said there are warrants outstanding for a number of those who occupied his laboratory building this spring. Pitzer had testified no disciplinary action had been taken outside the university as a result of the sit-in.

Rambo also echoed Pitzer in saying he favored no outside police action for offenses short of personal injury.

However, when asked by committee chairman John McClellan (D-Ark) if he didn't think persons who rioted desks and committed acts of vandalism in a school building should be arrested by outside police, Rambo replied, "Yes, I do."

Meanwhile, the Defense Department urged Congress to let the colleges solve their own campus unrest problems. "Punitive action by federal government probably would not be helpful," Dr. John S. Foster Jr., director of defense research and engi-

neering, told the subcommittee.

Foster testified particularly about student demonstrations protesting "war-related" research under Defense Department contracts. He said that issue was involved in demonstrations at the University of Pennsylvania, Columbia University, Stanford, George Washington University, and Massachusetts Institute of Technology.

In none of the demonstrations, he said, had there been any exposure of classified information, although that possibility remains.

In some cases, Foster said, universities have canceled classified work following student protests.

"Our view has been that each university must decide for itself the appropriateness of any particular research work," he said.

Last year, Foster said, the department made 5,000 research grants and contracts with 250 universities totaling \$248 million. Only 4 per cent of the work was classified.

"I regard some of the current turmoil as irresponsible action, for violence has absolutely no place in the process of constructive change," Foster said.

"But I still have confidence in the ability of the academic community in the aggregate, to cope ultimately with the situation."

Rambo said all schools should plan to handle the problem through internal discipline and be ready to resort to police aid in complex situations.

Rambo told the subcommittee Stanford recently demonstrated the success of such a blend of control mechanisms after several student demonstrations — including an eight-day sit-in at the Applied Electronics Laboratory in April.

Double Standard Denied by Sheriff

A charge by Sen. John McClellan (D-Ark) that Santa Clara County Sheriff's deputies acted "outside the law" during a Stanford demonstration in May has drawn an angry retort from Sheriff Charles Praelnik.

"We don't operate on a double standard at Stanford. We did not circumvent the law," said Praelnik.

If a student violates the law, he will be handled as anyone else who does so, the sheriff said.

Praelnik added the Stanford demonstrators left the administration building after deputies read a dispersal order, and no arrests were necessary.

McClellan's criticism came during a hearing in which Stanford President Kenneth S. Pitzer said there were no arrests following an administration building sit-in.

Some students were turned over to the University for disciplining, Pitzer said.

"That's an agreement outside the law. If it circumvents the law," McClellan shouted. He claimed it was an example of a "double standard" in dealing with students.

well planned and was not so popular on the campus and in the outside community, but an attempt two weeks later to seize the university administration building found a changed mood and was broken up in six hours with the help of outside police.

Charles A. Anderson, president of the Stanford Research Institute, said several attempts to disrupt activities of the off-campus research center were unsuccessful because of advance arrangements with Menlo Park and Palo Alto police.

Anderson said the institute made it clear in the beginning that it would not tolerate disruptions.

Rambo opposed the idea of federal withdrawal of higher education aid funds to schools failing to file a riot control plan.

SIM 7/3/69