

STANFORD DEFIES PART OF PROBE SUBPOEN

STANFORD (UPI)—Stanford University refused yesterday to disclose the names of officers of 12 organizations under investigation by a Senate subcommittee.

President Kenneth S. Pitzer said, however, the university did comply with a portion of a committee subpoena and provided the names of 27 individuals currently receiving federal financial aid. The committee asked for information on 90 individuals.

"Our compliance with the subpoena should in no way be interpreted as our concurrence that all of the individuals named in the subpoena have either violated university regulations in connection with campus disorders or have been associated in any way with such disorders," Pitzer said.

The information was requested by the Senate Permanent Subcommittee on Investigations headed by Sen. John L. McClellan, D-Ark. The committee is considering an "anti-riot" amendment withholding federal higher education funds.

In Berkeley, where University of California Chancellor Roger Heyns was also served with a subpoena, an extension was obtained until tomorrow while attorneys check the legality of the procedures.

Heyns told the Academic Senate the university considered confidential "the identities of individuals against whom the university has taken disciplinary action and description of the acts taken."

In refusing to disclose the names of officers of 12 organizations requested by the committee, Pitzer said:

"The university, with due regard for the right of free and unfettered association so vital to university life, does not re-

quire disclosure of either the officers or members of any campus organization.

"Accordingly, the university possesses no official records disclosing the officers of any of those organizations named in

the subpoena which are registered with university authorities.

"We do not believe that disclosure of that (one) member of (an) organization whose name has been filed with the universi-

ty is either required by or is in furtherance of your subpoena, since we have no official records disclosing whether or not such (an) individual is or is not an officer of the organization.

"Indeed, I would be most

reluctant in any event to disclose the names of individuals without some notion of the scope and your investigation and its pertinency that could have to that pu-

JUNE 5, 1969

File

Judge Bars S.D.S. Effort to Keep Columbia Records From Senate

By THOMAS F. BRADY

A Federal judge yesterday rebuffed a move by the Students for Democratic Society to keep information about the organization, and other extremist student groups, from a Senate investigating committee.

Judge Charles H. Tenney denied a motion by lawyers for the S.D.S. and several individual students to restrain Columbia University from surrendering its records on the student organization and campus disorders of last year to the Senate Permanent Subcommittee on Investigations.

A Senate subpoena had fixed today as the time limit for Columbia to provide the data.

Basis of S.D.S. Suit

The S.D.S. suit to restrain Columbia from delivering the information was based on contention that the Senate committee would turn the records over the House Committee on Internal Security, which is investigating the S.D.S.

The suit charged that the goal was to "harass and intimidate" the students and "deter them from freely exercising their rights."

In dismissing the S.D.S. petition, Judge Tenney said that S.D.S. "chapters and members have often been the focal point of expressions of opposition to certain foreign and domestic

policies of the United States Government."

He said that "a District Court must exercise extreme caution not to encroach upon legislative functions," emphasizing the importance of the separation of powers.

"It boggles the imagination," he said, "to think the plaintiffs could reasonably expect this court to quash a Congressional subpoena," when copies of their petition were not served on any member of the investigating committee and the committee was not represented in court.

The Senate subpoenas require Columbia to produce records dating from the beginning of 1968 "showing the identity of students or other persons or organizations who took part in the seizure of Columbia University buildings" as well as "the identity of officers and faculty advisers" of S.D.S., Students for a Re-structured University, the Student Afro-American Society and the Hamilton Hall Steering Committee.