

2)

"Having made this effort, we so far have been able to seek assistance when necessary from the courts and civil authorities without destroying the same consensus."

Pitzer said the "antiriot" riders "offend the classic American instinct to take care of one's own problems."

The riders "penalize the poor but not the rich," he added.

"Our experience has been that most campus disrupters are not economically deprived and hence do not receive federal aid," he said.

"Thus, federally imposed sanctions have little effect on the hard core leadership."

Pitzer said the actions taken by Stanford over the last year closely parallel the ~~xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx~~ the recommendations made last month by the National Commission on the Causes and Prevention of Violence. "We seek to build a university community which honors ideals and rejects coercion," Pitzer said.

1)

2-PALO ALTO TIMES, PALO ALTO, CALIF., TUESDAY, JULY 1, 1969

Testifies at Capitol

Pitzer deplures crackdowns

WASHINGTON — So-called "antiriot" riders on appropriations bills "do more harm than good to the cause of maintaining — or restoring — peace on campus," Stanford University President Kenneth S. Pitzer said in testimony prepared for the permanent subcommittee on investigations of the U.S. Senate today. Pitzer and Stanford Provost Richard W. Lyman were among the first college officials called to testify before the subcommittee, which is headed by Sen. John McClellan, D-Ark. Another witness to be called was Charles Anderson, president of Stanford Research Institute, Menlo Park. Pitzer said: "We must not, we dare not let our institutions of learning be weakened, divided, or destroyed by attacks, from within or from without, which strike at the fundamental freedoms on which they are based." Where universities were almost wholly unprepared to handle the threat of disruption a few years ago, now "we do see a turning point," he added.

Bill on campus unrest buried

WASHINGTON (AP) — After weeks of wangling, the House Education and Labor Committee today killed a bill aimed at campus unrest. The committee voted 18-17 to send back to subcommittee

a bill that would require colleges and universities to certify their intention to draw up regulations against disruption or lose federal aid.

A principal backer of the legislation, Rep. Edith Green,

D-Ore., cautioned that the committee vote opened the way for tougher measures against student violence to be attached to other bills on the floor of the House.

"One of our great concerns now is that the turning point may have come too late—that we may find ourselves the victims of a massive counter-attack from an angry American public, fed up with campus antics across the country and too impatient to bear with us as we work hard to solve these problems for ourselves."

Pitzer noted that Stanford has devoted "much time, energy and effort to developing our own judicial and governance procedures in ways which will attract the widest possible support (on campus)."

"Having made this effort, we so far have been able to seek