

## SENATE SUBPOENA

~~S.J.M.~~ June 4, 1969  
S.J.M.  
**Some Data**

# Surrendered By Stanford

By PETE CAREY  
Mercury Staff Writer

STANFORD — Stanford University announced Tuesday it has complied with a portion of a U.S. Senate subcommittee subpoena of its records or campus, disruptions.

The subpoena was issued by the Senate Permanent Subcommittee on Investigations, slated to begin hearings on national campus unrest this month.

Stanford did not comply with a request for names of officers of 12 organizations requested by the investigatory body, however.

And while furnishing names of 16 students more than the 90 listed in the subpoena, it gave only information on whether or not the students were receiving federal aid.

Twenty-seven of the unnamed persons are collecting some form of federal assistance, and conceivably could be stripped of the money under a 1968 "anti-riot" law.

There was no immediate reaction from the subcommittee, although it is believed to

Vietnam" and who ignore domestic problems.

Many of those people "sit in the Congress," he added.

Sanford Dornbusch, professor of sociology, declared that "this type of fishing expedition is to get lists of names and create a climate of fear on the campus."

Provost Richard Lyman told newsmen later in the afternoon that he wished more people were concerned with the "anti-riot" laws than with the planned McClellan hearing.

The laws would deny federal aid to any students convicted of a crime involving campus disruption, and would strip universities of federal aid for not being "strict" with disrupters.

Lyman said the legislation takes power away from schools which are "struggling the hardest to cope with these problems."

Also, they set a uniform penalty for a "wide variety" of offenses, and impose the penalty before the crime is committed,

he said.

have asked for more extensive information.

The Mercury Washington Bureau learned Tuesday that "some" people will be called upon to testify when the hearings into campus unrest begin this month.

No names were provided by the subcommittee staff, however. It did not even specify whether faculty and administrators, as well as students, would be called.

President Kenneth S. Pitzer, in a letter accompanying the information, wrote that Stanford has "no official records" on campus organization officers.

He added he would be reluctant to comply "in any event" without "some clarification of the scope and purpose of your investigation and the possible pertinency that such names could have to that purpose."

The university's response, delayed for more than a week, came only after prolonged consultation with attorneys, including Washington, D.C., specialists in the field of Congressional subpoenas.

Criticism of the proposed investigation has mounted at Stanford.

At a press conference held Tuesday noon by five faculty members, the hearings were characterized as "a fishing expedition" for names of people dissenting from government policies.

"It must be resisted or the university is going to become no more than a propaganda mill," said religion Prof. Robert McAfee Brown.

"I am not being the least bit hysterical in saying that we fear a repetition of that era of witch-hunting" characterized by the days of Sen. Joseph McCarthy, he said.

Brown called on university faculties to close ranks to resist incursions into academic freedom he said were represented by the McClellan investigation.

Some 15 other universities have received similar subpoenas of the records.

Prof. Avram Goldstein, pharmacology, said the subcommittee displayed "a cockeyed set of priorities to go headline grabbing" at a time when the nation's goals need reordering.

He warned against the "mistake" of believing that disruption is caused solely by groups on the extreme Left.

"It is a very widespread movement of young people that takes virtually the same form all over the world. They are saying something is wrong."

Goldstein added that "the people who are subverting the United States of America are the people who got us doing the

"We recognize the interests of the Congress in the way in which federal money is spent, and realize that they may legitimately investigate this.

"But we are fearful that unless the investigation is taken with the greatest of care to see that it does not become a suppression of freedom of dissent," universities will be damaged, he said.



**FEARFUL**—Provost Richard Lyman of Stanford told newsmen Tuesday he is "fearful" that Senate hearings on campus unrest could lead to suppression of dissent.