The Stanford Observer

Stanford University, oford California

February, 1970

Feb Limited credit trial 1970 approved for ROTC

A plan which would permit Army ROTC courses to qualify for limited scademic credit on a one-year trial basis was approved by the Faculty Senate Jan. 99 Senate Jan. 22.

In its 23-13 vote, the Senate also urged University President Pitzer to do everything possible to press for the elimination of the punitive provisions in individual ROTC contracts" with students.

(For two related ROTC stories,

see page 6.)

The action followed three and a half hours debate, including strong support for the proposals from both Pitzer and University Provost Richard W. Lyman. About 100 students seeking an end to ROTC on campus gathered outside, but did not obstruct entry. About 25 conservative students opposed to disruptions also

The Senate placed responsibility The Senate placed responsibility for evaluating Army ROTC course proposals in the faculty Committee on Undergraduate Studies, which now decides whether academic credit shall be given special nondepartmental courses.

The Senate emphasized that "CUS will consider each Army course proposal for academic credit on its academic resits with no account to demic merits, with no presumption that any such proposal will be accepted."

Pitzer told the Senate that the Army "is prepared to accept the committee's judgment and let the lang of credit stand or fall on the proposal."
"To flatly refuse consideration of

credit would be seen by many as undue discrimination against military ce when we have a reasonably flexible system for credit," Pitzer stated.

As submitted by the Army, the change will eliminate departmental status for ROTC and faculty status for its instructors. It also will reduce the maximum possible course credits for ROTC instruction to about

one-third their present level.

Several faculty members anticipate that the Senate's decision will be reviewed by the full Academic

Last April, the faculty voted 403-365 that "after a transitional period, there should be no academic credit. received for participation in programs of military training and education," sustaining an earlier 28-8 Senate vote.
Earlier, students voted 3-2 (2106

to 1397) that ROTC "has a legiti-mate place" on the campus and "deserves credit" for academic courses.

Pitzer called the Army concesns over the past year "substantial" and pointed out that "there are large segments of the Stanford constitu-ency that desire ROTC with some

aspects of credit retained."

He emphasized that "the faculty retains complete control of academic credit... There is no presumption of credit. The Army is Memo to corporation executives

-see page 🏖

prepared to accept the verdict."
Proposals from the Navy or AisForce should be considered sepa-rately "if they differ significantly from this pattern," be added.
Prof. Alan S. Manne, economics,

headed the advisory committee on ROTC, but dissented from its 8-2 recommendations on credit.

This body is being asked to re-verse last year's faculty decisions and to adopt the Department of Delfense position that these (ROTC) programs are not viable without aca-

emic credit," he told the Senate.
"In the referendum reviewing the Senate's actions, a majority of the faculty favored retention of the ROTC units on campus as an exacuricular activity but without academic credit. In my view this faculty decision was a sound one and long overdue—overdue by de-

Provost Lyman told the Senate that "the legitimate concerns (expressed by the faculty last spring) have largely been met.

"The new proposal gives us a The new proposal gives us a chance to try something too many universities have found it easier, to today's political climate, to turn their backs on—namely, retain the potential of the commission while going through collens in the commission while going through collens. No one must take BOTC, added. The faculty is a about a



Il control of course credit as it ever And significant advantages acto many students in the form of helarship support not forthcoming on other sources." Dan Caldwell, a Naval ROTC ca-

et and senior history major who arved with the Manne committee, cointed out that 125 students resved about \$250,000 in ROTC bolarships at Stanford last year. a said his class had freely disersial military incidents.

Pat Shea, of the Associated Stu-ents Council of Presidents, said he it the aculty had "wisely decided"

last spring that all academic programs had to originate within the University. He urged the Senate to act promptly on the issue, and heavily critized the ROTC student contract provisions.

On this Pitzer told the Senate: "It is our intention to keep pushing the services and to exert every pos-sible influence on Congress and the Department of Defense. In the meantime, the heads of military programs (on campus) are under plicit instructions to be sure they use every possible discretion at their command to mitigate the so-called punitive clauses and take every possible step to be certain that every enrolled student understands his status under the contracts.

Stanford now has about 250 students in three ROTC departments, down about 100 from a year ago.

Under ROTC contract provisions, those signing four-year contracts may terminate their scholarships during the first two years without penalty, while those contracting for two years may do so in the initial 12 months. Willful evasion of the contract after that time exposes a student to the possibility of compul-sory service in the enlisted ranks for periods up to two or four years.