THE LIBERATED DAILY

March 11, 1971

California, Amerika

Special Edition

YOU CAN'T ENJOIN THE REVOLUTION

Last week Stanford's lawyers explained to judge Richard W. Rhodes that the situation on campus had gotten "a lot rougher and nastier" since the last injunction, prayed for a new injunction with more teeth in it, and came away satisfied. Yesterday, Judge Rhodes who was smiling and friendly throughout the week-long hearing, issued the preliminary injunction designed to quell the anti-war movement on campus, exactly what Lyman wanted. Even the most liberal judge in the county responded to Stanford's pressure.

The most repressive part of the injunction is clause #8, the banishment clause. Between five and fourteen of the sixteen named defendants have been barred from entering campus. It is still unclear the precise number of people banished and the specifics of the order, because no defendant has yet received a copy of the complete injunction. The judge refused to explain the injunction to one of the defense attorneys yesterday, claiming that it would be improper to do so without the Stanford lawyer present; this is somewhat ironic because the judge originally issued the TRO without even consulting the defendants or their lawyers. Yet somehow the Palo Alto Times learned enough to write a lead story yesterday.

Those subject to banishment are Katherine Barclay, Brad Dowden, Gerry Foote,
Mike Fox, Bruce Franklin, Jane Franklin,
Andrea Holman, Chris Katzenbach, Aaron
Manganello, Merle Rabine, Theresa Ramirez, Jeff Youdelman, and Janet Weiss. If
and when some of the defendants become
"duly registered students", they will join
Sharon Winslow and Ted Smith as named defendants permitted on campus. Don Lee, who
was on the TRO but was never served, had
to be dropped from the injunction.

Section 7 of the injunction prohibits

"joining together, combining or agreeing" to
do any of the prescribed acts. This is the

"conspiracy clause" which essentially prohibits meetings and enjoins "thought crimes".

Since Bruce Franklin is currently suspended from teaching his class, he will be barred from campus. Lyman has suspended a tenured professor without a hearing and then had the court ban him from campus, making it virtually impossible for him to prepare a defense for any hearing he might have. If and when the Advisory Board hears the case, President Lyman has the absolute power to accept or reject their recommendations.

Being covered by the injunction means being enjoined and restrained from doing or causing to be done, directly or indirectly, any of the following acts: smashing, trashing, nailing, painting, bricking, burning, boarding up, or in any way intentionally damaging university property. Massing, milling, sitting in, or in any way blocking ingress or egress, or entering university buildings with the intent or effect of disrupting university activities is also enjoined. These prohibitions apply to one-thousand John Does in addition to the named defendants.

To become a John Doe is simple. Do anything the university dislikes and they have the arbitrary power to enjoin you if they see . fit. Sharon Winslow and Katherine Barclay became named defendants simply for being at the Business School during a legal assembly. This was the only evidence used against then . In the hearings.

Beyond the John Doe provision are the parts of the injunction that prohibit "aiding and abetting the defendants or acting in concert with them". If anyone acts in an anti-war movement, they fall under this provision,

and are subject to contempt of court proceedings.

There are obvious problems in interpreting all of this. What do "agreeing to aid and abet" or "combining to indirectly cause an act which is in concert with" mean? When people are confused, intimidation comes casily. The judge has even advised inaction if people want to be "on the safe side". But that is the purpose of the injunction; get rid of a few leaders, and intimidate everyone else.

But, as William Kunstler said when the TRO came out, injunctions are only effective against individuals, not against masses of people. The University learned last year that it is too expensive for them to prosecute



even a small group of people, much less a thousand John Does. Acting together people can smash the injunction. Dare to struggle! Dare to win!

LIBRARY SHOWDOWN FRIDAY

Working people at Stanford are beginning to light back against arbitrary treatment by the administration. The two-week long boycott of Tresidder organized by United Stanford Employees (U. S. E.) and Venceremos Workers to oppose the sudden lay-off of 12 Tresidder employees resulted in a 50% decline in food sales. This week workers are shifting their attention to the Main Library, where library worker John Keilch is due to lose his job this Friday for participating in the demonstration against Henry Cabot Lodge,

An informational picket line has been maintained at the library every day this week by employees and student supporters. They planned to take their demands (that the administration's action against John Keilch be stopped and that all laid-off workers be reinstated) to Pres. Lyman's speech which was scheduled for this afternoon and confront him directly. However, the prospect of confronting angry employees caused Lyman to cancel the speech.

So these workers are considering alternative ways of opposing the administration's actions. At a U.S.E. meeting yesterday noon at the library, discussion centered on the possibility of a strike at the library on Friday. Library employees expressed a determination not to allow John Keilch's suspension to go by unchallenged. U.S.E. members from other parts of the campus agreed to actively support whatever the library workers decided to do.

Later a group of library employees circulated the following statement: "Yesterday John Keilch's grievance committee reported that they think his 90-day suspension too severe, but they made no recommendation for a lighter penalty. The case now goes to the Provost for a decision. President Lyman has replied to the 'Library Workers' Statement' in the Daily by saying that he has no authority to act in John's case. Furthermorthe university has obtained a court order which effectively limits our right, as worker to organize. These events make it important that we continue to show support for Joh and we are holding a meeting at noon today (Thursday) in front of the library to discuss ways of expressing our support. It has been suggested that on Friday we operate a voluntary withdrawal of labor combined with leafletting outside the Main Library. It must be emphasized that this would be primarily an act of solidarity towards John; it is strictly not our intention to intimidate library users or staff. Suggestions for other ways in which we can support John will be welcome at the meeting today."

The grievance committee was stacked: two administrators and only one worker. An now the injunction, which bans 'disruptive' employee activities in the last of a whole series of arrogant moves, has prepared the willingness of many workers to fight back.

CHINA WARNS AGAINST US AGGRESSION

The Peoples' Governments of China and North Vietnam yesterday released a joint communique. They declared that Nixon's actions are "a new and extremely grave war escalation... which directly menaces the security of the Democratic Republic of Vietnam and... the security of the Peoples' Republic of China

The communique further declared that unless the U.S. Government "unconditionally stops its bombing of Laos and withdraws all U.S. troops and vassal troops from Laotian territory," the Chinese will "take all necesary measures, not flinching even from the greatest national sacrifices, to give all out support and assistance to the Vietnamese and other Indochinese peoples for the thorough defeat of the U.S. aggressors."

Yesterday, Nixon called the Imperialist war "the very last" one. He continued: "I'd like to see us not end the war foolishly and But that is what is happening. As the people of Indochina and the American people continue to resist, Nixon and the people behin him become totally isolated.

Part of their desperation is to turn that isolation around. The government and newspapers like the Palo Alto Times are attempting to divide the Peace Movement by turning the "China Scare" into a domestic "Red scare

The Indochinese people have shown us that the best way to end the war is to organize as many people as possible under one banner. The PRG of South Victnam itself is a coalition of many groups, including communists.

The PRG and the Gallup Poli say the

STOP THE BOMBING
IMMEDIATE WITHDRAWAL OF
ALL U.S. TROOPS

TRESIDDER BOYCOTT

Meanwhile, the highly successful Loycott at Tresidder has been postponed until registration day, March 29, if all laid-off workers are not rehired at the university. According to one worker-activist, the boycott will be resumed with a vengeance at the beginning of spring quarter.

So far, the administration has been unwilling to commit itself to reinstating all workers who have been laid off. It appears that very little effort is being put into finding them alternate jobs. A member of U.S. E. told us, "A black woman who was fired from Tresidder was told by Mr. Harrington, a supervisor at Tresidder, about a job at a college in Menlo Park. When she went to the college for an appointment she was told there was no job. Lyman talks a lot about the so-called Affirmative Action Program, but this is how Stanford really deals with its respon-

To date, only one of the 12 workers laid off at Tresidder has found another job. In response, the proceeds from the alternate sandwich sales of the boycotters plus added donations have been put into a worker's relief fund. So far \$185 has been collected to aid those workers having the most difficulty until the fight for their jobs is won. (The administration refuses to make payments into the unemployment insurance fund, making Stanford workers ineligible for unemployment pay, and local welfare offices have been refusing assistance to some of the laid off workers.)

Workers are particularly angry that at the same time that low-paid workers were being laid off (12 at Tresidder, 12 at photoreproduction, 20 coming up at the physical plant, and more rumored at the medical center and the computer departments) a pay raise for faculty averaging \$1,000 per professor was announced.

One employee explained the reasons for

HARVARD FOLLOWS SUIT

Harvard University, perhaps following precodent set by Stanford, is using a Temporary Restraining Order in an attempt to break a women's movement on its campus.

The group of twenty-five women occupying a University building was notified of the restraining order Tuesday and told to evacuate immediately. Despite this, the women vowed to remain until they were forced to leave or until the building was demolished by the University sometime this summer. The twenty-five are part of a group of 150 sisters who seized the building Saturday to protest the lack of decent low-rent housing in the area and accordingly to demand that the land made available by the building demolishment be allotted to such housing. Right on, sisters!

Greek Independence Celebration Saturday, March 20th, 8 tm Civic Auditorium, San Promoisco

The Greek Fascists are planning to celebrate Greek Independence Day in the Civic Auditorium. Some minor fascist officials will be there, and Benito Alioto has been invited.

Greek students and other Greek peoples in the area have called for a counter-celcbration outside the Auditorium in support of the heroic people of Greece who are still struggling for their independence. the lay-offs: "These lay-offs are the result of the administration's Budget Adjustment Program (BAP). Workers are being BAPed out of their jobs so that the university doesn't have to cut back in training elites for imperialism and generating research for the military and the large corporations. The administration pleads poverty, but workers are quick to point at Pres. Lyman's \$60,000 salary and rent-free mansion, at the corporations in Stanford's Industrial park which reap huge profits from their defense work, and at the university's multi-million dollar investment portfolio."

The administration has been trying to ignore the active resentment and opposition among Stanford workers while it got the injunction approved. But workers are refusing to be either confused or intimidated, and are planning to escalate their struggle if necessary.



Community Leader Blasts Injunction

Last night, Jean Hobson, candidate for Palo Alto City Council, commented on the new injunction:

"This injunction is a quasi-legal device intended to crush the anti-war, anti-imperialist movement. It's a repressive action which cannot succeed. Stanford Trustees, Palo Alto industrialists, and the Palo Alto press fear the people. We working people of Palo Alto know that the threat of expanded war in Indochina and increasing inflation and unemployment here at home must be stopped. The injunction against those at Stanford who would make these connections clear is despicable."

Black Workers Caucus

Last Monday a group of 15 Black workers got together to map out plans for the newly formed Black Workers Caucus. Ideas were weighed for actions to be taken by the caucus, which will be working hand in hand with the B, S, U, and the Black community, as well as other minority groups, to bring about much needed improvements in the working and living conditions of brothers and sisters in the area.

The Black Workers Caucus hopes that workers from other minority groups will attend and participate in the meetings every Monday, since the problems of one group are usually common to all the groups.

Frame-up Fails

The Stanford Judicial Council (SJC) recently brought a number of trumped-up charges against several Stanford activists in a further attempt to intimidate and harrass members of the anti-war movement.

Mike Holman was accused of "actively supporting, aiding and intentionally encouraging others to throw rocks at University buildings for the purpose of breaking windows in those buildings." When the case came to trial, John Schwartz (the special university prosecutor for the SJC) could produce no evidence except one witness who saw Mike holding "something" on one night that window were trashed. At the end of the prosecution case, before the defense had a chance to say anything, the charges were dismissed.

Don Lee and Brad Dowden were acquitted of "assaulting and interfering with the legit-imate movement" of a university photographe at the Computation Center the day that it was occupied. The charges were based on an affldavit of one of the photographers, Nikita Bruno (whose supervisor is John Schwartz), claiming that Don and Brad had assaulted him. This same affldavit was used as a basis for naming Don and Brad on the TRO. During the SJC hearing, however, both photographer witnesses admitted that neither Don nor Brad had touched anyone. The SJC had to declare the case closed because of "insufficient evidence".

Schwartz also attempted to bring charge against Theresa Ramirez for trashing and against Lennie Scigal for being a "general nuisance", but both of these charges were dropped for lack of evidence.

Today In The 'Daily'

Stanford's President Richard W. Lyman has cancelled a speech which he was scheduled to give today. The speech, "1984 and all that - Stanford in the Future", was called off at the suggestion of the ASSII Council of Presidents, the Student Development Committee, and the Cardinal's Board, who thought that dead week was a bad time for the speech and that many students would want to hear about more immediate and specific problems at Stanford.

Last Sunday, Lyman was heckled by Stanford workers in Mem Chu. The workers made demands on Lyman and were expecting an answer today. Now he will not have to face workers' demands or students' questions about the injunction handed down yester-

Nonetheless, there will be a meeting in Dinkelspiol at 4 pm today to discuss the proposed strike in the Main Library and possible responses to the injunction. It is removed that Big Brother will be speaking.

Dinkelspiel Auditorium Thursday afternoon, 4 pm