

FOR IMMEDIATE RELEASE

STANFORD —

Emphasis by the Stanford administration on discipline rather than providing moral leadership was at least partly responsible for provoking militancy on campus, a faculty member charged Thursday night, Feb. 18.

Raymond Giraud, professor of French, spoke at a meeting of the Faculty Political Action Group (FPAG). About 250 persons attended, including many faculty couples and several students

Professor Giraud claimed that the recent change in Stanford's administration offered "an opportunity to devote every energy to getting the University out of the war" and to devote its resources "to projects more helpful to fellow citizens."

"This sort of leadership was not provided," he said. "The administration acted as if it had a mandate to establish law and order and reduce the number and importance of protest activities." People were impelled, he said, "to commit actions of increasing militancy" in order to "move people from their lethargy." Violence and damage "to some extent were provoked by the way the administration has behaved."

Charles Drekmeier, professor of political science and an FPAG organizer, presided at the session. Three hours after it started, attendance dropped to around 60. Other speakers were Dr. Halsted Holman, professor of medicine, and James Wolpman, local attorney who has defended students and others charged with disruptive acts at Stanford.

Stating the University's relation to the military, to the war in Vietnam, and to the federal government are "not open to challenge," Professor Holman contended that this relation had "conditioned the direction of the University."

The build-up of large staffs and laboratories creates "a momentum that is easier to perpetuate than to change," he said. "There is an impact, less apparent, on the independence th integrity of the University," he added, saying an administrator had feared there would be a loss in research funding if ROTC were cut back and that the use of the University's computer by an outside contractor had been challenged.

Mark Mancall, associate professor of history, suggested from the floor that the faculty Senate sponsor a gazette which would list by title and subject matter all Stanford research projects. Then, he said, the effect of public opinion could be felt, if needed

Wolpman listed several things "that bug me" about the temporary restraining order Stanford attorneys obtained last Friday from the Santa Clara County Superior Court. He criticized the broad terms of "aiding and abetting" or "acting in concert" with the 17 named defendants under which others could be subject to contempt action.

He said that if a named defendant advocated a strike at the University, he might be in contempt of court. Referring to the inclusion of members of Venceremos in the order, he added, "You'd better be careful about the buttons you wear." Venceremos supporters often wear a red and black lapel button, emblazoned with a gun and the organization's name, which means "we will win."

The Faculty Political Action Group plans another meeting at 8 p m Tuesday at a place to be announced.