



"The committee believes that when the university (or any other institution, including a municipality) contributes to creating a severe social problem, it has some responsibility for contributing to a solution of that problem. The committee does not share the view that Stanford can meet that obligation merely by offering ideas, expertise, and moral encouragement to the surrounding communities as they attempt to grapple with the difficulties. This is particularly the case when Stanford, through its land development operations, has received financial benefits from the industrial and commercial uses which are causing part of the problem."

From the Wright Committee Report

"How much of this housing should be built on Stanford land, where, and for whom are but a few of the questions that the Wright Committee has studied this year. Its recommendations will be made this spring to president Pitzer, studied by university officers and transmitted to the Trustees for action."

"Obviously more thought must be given to the impact of development upon the total community, and to the housing needs of low-income groups."

From "Questions and Answers About Stanford Land Use"  
by the University Relations Office

The recommendations of the Wright Committee called for the building of 600-2,000 units of low-moderate income housing. The Trustees have approved 200 and the construction has not yet begun. The \$40,000 Master plan for Stanford University submitted by Livingston and Blaney clearly emphasizes development of corporate, industrial and commercial use of the Stanford lands and the housing proposed will not even meet the present housing shortage.

The quotations are clear, the members of the Stanford University Community have no vote on the land issues that directly effect their lives. The power of decision lies with the president, the university administrators and finally with the veto power of the Trustees.