

from the sweat and shame it takes to learn from history, in the broad sense and the narrow...To disprove our guilt cannot be our concern, for we shall enjoy respect only if we have the strength to survive in the full knowledge of it." Can we at Stanford say any less?

We suggest that the following are incumbent on the University Administration:

1. Recognize, at the least, that there is an ambiguity in the relationship between freedom of speech which is invoked on behalf of Ambassador Lodge and the Principles of Nuremberg which are invoked on behalf of the disruptors of the Ambassador's speech, and withdraw the first charge against Professor Franklin.

2. Recognize the precedent established at Nuremberg whereby those who enforced internal law at variance with the Nuremberg Principles were subsequently charged and convicted of violation of those principles. This raises significant questions concerning University actions against opponents of the war.

3. Establish a Commission of Inquiry to determine the extent of and the reasons for the involvement of the university with the military and with war crimes in Vietnam.

4. Invoke discussions amongst different constituencies of the university concerning the report of the Commission of Inquiry and possible university responses to it. These constituency discussions will be a prelude to

5. The convening of a university-wide Assembly to draft and adopt policies and procedures which will prevent this university from becoming implicated in crimes of war.